

Message

From: Alpern, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7A6D1FE1F61646D6B96ED0DD6F6C33CD-ALPERN, MIC]
Sent: 4/28/2022 4:56:52 PM
To: Colip, Matthew [colip.matthew@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: for Mike -- R9 Exide communications materials

Super helpful, thanks.

From: Colip, Matthew <colip.matthew@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 9:51 AM
To: Alpern, Michael <Alpern.Michael@epa.gov>
Subject: for Mike -- R9 Exide communications materials
Importance: High

Hi Mike,

Following-up on your request for info about the NPL listing process and timing.

Attached please find a graphic that illustrates the steps and decision points in the NPL listing process leading up to a proposal of a site to the NPL. We're ok with sharing the attachment with DTSC. Please note that this is just the timing to proposal of a site. When EPA proposes to add a site to the NPL, the Agency publishes a public notice about its intention and solicits public comment on the proposal. EPA then responds to comments received. If, after the formal comment period, the site still qualifies for cleanup under Superfund, it is formally listed on the NPL. That process, from proposal to final listing, is typically around 6 months.

It should be noted here that an analysis of data needed to determine whether this site is eligible for NPL listing has not been completed. If additional data is needed this could result in a delay for EPA beginning the process leading up to a proposal to the NPL.

Matt

From: Colip, Matthew
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 11:11 AM
To: Alpern, Michael Alpern.Michael@epa.gov
Subject: question for Mike -- R9 Exide communications materials
Importance: High

Hi Mike,

My understanding is the state of CA is expected to request that EPA add the former Exide site in Vernon, CA to the NPL.

I'm reaching out to see if you're aware of this issue in R9 public affairs and, if so, if R9 has any communications materials under development. If so, would you be able to forward that material to me? Our program folks would like to review that content to make sure our messaging is tight before the Agency receives questions etc.

Happy to discuss more.

Thanks,
Matt